

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

VIA ELECTRONIC AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

Brian G. Svoboda, Esq. Karl J. Sandstrom, Esq. Perkins Coie LLP 700 13th Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005-3960 BSvoboda@perkinscoie.com KSandstrom@perkinscoie.com

AUG 2'7 2019

RE:

MURs 6960 & 6991

SW Technologies, LLC

Dear Messrs. Svoboda and Sandstrom:

On August 21, 2019, the Federal Election Commission accepted the signed conciliation agreement submitted on behalf of your client, SW Technologies, LLC, of a violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30111(a)(4) of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. Accordingly, the file has been closed in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016). Information derived in connection with any conciliation attempt will not become public without the written consent of the Respondent and the Commission. See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(4)(B).

Enclosed you will find a copy of the fully executed conciliation agreement for your files. Please note that the civil penalty is due within 90 days of the conciliation agreement's effective date. If you have any questions, please contact me at (202) 694-1597.

Sincerely

Claudio J. Pavia

Attorney

Enclosure
Conciliation Agreement

2	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION				
3 4 5 6 7	In the Matter of) SW Technologies, LLC) Output Description: Output Description:				
8	CONCILIATION AGREEMENT				
9	These matters were initiated by signed, sworn, and notarized complaints filed by the				
10	Republican National Committee ("RNC") and National Republican Congressional Committee				
11	("NRCC"). The Federal Election Commission (the "Commission" or "FEC") found reason to				
12	believe that SW Technologies, LLC ("SWT" or "Respondent") violated 52 U.S.C. § 30111(a)(4)				
13	the "sale and use" provision of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended by				
14	compiling a commercial mailing list with names and addresses obtained from FEC disclosure				
15	reports.				
16	NOW, THEREFORE, the Commission and Respondent, having participated in informal				
17	methods of conciliation, prior to a finding of probable cause to believe, do hereby agree as				
18	follows:				
19	I. The Commission has jurisdiction over Respondent and the subject matter of this				
20	proceeding, and this Agreement has the effect of an agreement entered pursuant to 52 U.S.C.				
21	§ 30109(a)(4)(A)(i).				
22	II. Respondent has had a reasonable opportunity to demonstrate that no action should				
23	be taken in this matter.				
24	III. Respondent enters voluntarily into this Agreement with the Commission.				
25	IV. The pertinent facts and relevant law in this matter are as follows:				

MURs 6960 & 6991 (SW Technologies, LLC) Conciliation Agreement Page 2 of 5

FACTS

- 1. During the relevant time period, SWT rented email lists and provided voter, demographic, and other information for political advocacy and constituent communications. The company was formed in 2010 as the successor to Advocacy Inc. R. A. Stone was SWT's president and CEO.
- 2. Between December 2014 and August 2015, SWT rented a commercial mailing list to political customers called the Republican Elite Donors ("RED") List that was generated by narrowing the names in SWT's database (the "voter file") to the approximately 200,000 names comprising the RED List. This was accomplished, in part, by matching the pre-existing names in the voter file to disclosure reports copied from the Commission's website that were filed by the RNC and NRCC and identifying known political contributors. During the relevant period, the RED List generated \$33,611 in rental sales.
- 3. During the summer of 2015, the RNC and NRCC received solicitation packages from various political organizations that had rented the RED List and were addressed to "salted" names placed in their FEC disclosure reports to detect improper use of information contained in those reports. In June 2015, SWT was alerted by the RNC that the RED List appeared to contain FEC data. SWT deleted only those records that had been added as part of a recent update and continued to rent the list to customers. In August 2015, SWT was again alerted that the RED List appeared to contain FEC data, this time by the NRCC, and pulled the RED List from the market.
- 21 4. The complaints in these matters were later filed, both of which included the salted
 22 names at issue. SWT used the salted names to perform a targeted search of its system, which
 23 revealed that the raw FEC data files containing RNC and NRCC disclosure reports, which SWT

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MURs 6960 & 6991 (SW Technologies, LLC) Conciliation Agreement Page 3 of 5

- claims were only intended to be matched with SWT's voter file, as described above, had been
- 2 transmitted to the company responsible for marketing the RED List and handling individual
- 3 client rental orders. Consequently, the RED List that was sold to customers included prohibited
- 4 FEC data. SWT determined that 20,000 donor records from the RNC and 20,000 donor records
- from the NRCC were added to the RED List (40,000 of the approximately 200,000 total names,
- 6 or 20% of the RED List).
 - 5. SWT deleted the raw FEC data files from its system, removed the notations in the voter file showing contribution histories, and discontinued sales of the RED List.

9 LAW

- 6. In relevant part, 52 U.S.C. § 30111(a)(4) provides that the Commission shall make available to the public reports and statements filed with it, "except that any information copied from such reports or statements may not be sold or used by any person for the purpose of soliciting contributions or for commercial purposes, other than using the name and address of any political committee to solicit contributions from such committee." Political committees are permitted to submit 10 pseudonyms or "salted" names on each report "in order to protect against the illegal use of names and addresses of contributors." 52 U.S.C. § 30111(a)(4).
- 7. The statute not only places restrictions on the sale and use of names and addresses obtained from the Commission's database, but also restricts the sale and use of contribution histories, including by matching a pre-existing list of names with FEC disclosure reports for the purpose of identifying known political contributors in order to assist with potentially soliciting those individuals. See, e.g., Advisory Op. 1985-16 (Weiss).
- 22 8. Respondent contends that it sought to develop and market the RED List in accordance with general industry practices, believing it permissible to use FEC data files to

MURs 6960 & 6991 (SW Technologies, LLC) Conciliation Agreement Page 4 of 5

- 1 enhance existing lists, without copying or adding names not already on those lists, and that the
- 2 inclusion of raw FEC data in the RED List occurred by error.
- 3 V. Respondent violated 52 U.S.C. § 30111(a)(4) by inserting names and addresses
- 4 from FEC disclosure reports into the RED List, a commercial mailing list, and by matching the
- 5 pre-existing names in SWT's voter file to FEC disclosure reports in order to identify the known
- 6 contributors who were later added to the RED List.
- 7 VI. Respondent will take the following actions:
- 8 1. SWT will pay a civil penalty to the Commission in the amount of twenty-
- 9 five thousand dollars (\$25,000) pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(5)(A).
- 2. SWT will cease and desist from violating 52 U.S.C. § 30111(a)(4).
- 11 VII. The Commission, on request of anyone filing a complaint under 52 U.S.C.
- 12 § 30109(a)(1) concerning the matter at issue herein or on its own motion, may review
- 13 compliance with this Agreement. If the Commission believes that this Agreement or any
- 14 requirement thereof has been violated, it may institute a civil action for relief in the United States
- 15 District Court for the District of Columbia.
- VIII. This Agreement shall become effective as of the date that all parties hereto have
- 17 executed the same and the Commission has approved the entire Agreement.
- 18 IX. Respondent shall have no more than 90 days from the date this Agreement
- 19 becomes effective to comply with and implement the requirements contained in this Agreement
- and to so notify the Commission.
- 21 X. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties on the matter
- 22 raised herein, and no other statement, promise, or agreement, either written or oral, made by

MURs 6960 & 6991 (SW Technologies, LLC) Conciliation Agreement
Page 5 of 5

- either party or by agents of either party that is not contained in this written Agreement shall be
- 2 enforceable.
- FOR THE COMMISSION: 3

4	BY:	Charles Kitcher	8/23/2019	
5		Charles Kitcher	Date	
6		Acting Associate General Counsel for Enforcement		•

FOR THE RESPONDENT:

8 Brian G. Svoboda 10

Attorney for SW Technologies, LLC